



United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/837,251	04/18/2001	Cheryl Hite	20191-703	1377	
30554	7590 07/19/2004		EXAMINER		
	SHEMWELL GREGORY & COURTNEY LLP 4880 STEVENS CREEK BOULEVARD			MCCARTHY, CHRISTOPHER S	
SUITE 201	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		ART UNIT PAPER NU		
SAN JOSE,	AN JOSE, CA 95129		2113		
			DATE MAILED: 07/19/2004	γ Σ	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

&

		<u> </u>				
	•	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	055 4-45 0	09/837,251	HITE ET AL.	Of .		
•	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Christopher S. McCarthy	2113			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	dress		
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failt Any	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period water to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be till y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a RANDONE. cause the application to become ABANDONE.	mely filed ys will be considered timely the mailing date of this co	r. mmunication.		
Status						
1) 🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 A	pril 2001.				
	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3)	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposit	ion of Claims					
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-31 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-31 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.				
Applicat	ion Papers					
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on <u>18 April 2001</u> is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	☑ accepted or b)☐ objected to drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ojected to. See 37 CF			
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicat rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National \$	Stage		
2) 🔲 Notic 3) 🔯 Infori	et(s) te of References Cited (PTO-892) te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) or No(s)/Mail Date <u>2.4</u> .	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate	-152)		

Art Unit: 2113

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

1. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: The title misspells the word "identification." Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1-6, 8-15, 17-20, 22-27, 29-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Donnelly et al U.S. Patent 6,049,776.

As per claim 1, Donnelly teaches a method for providing real-time indications of resource scheduling conflicts in a resource scheduling process comprising: analyzing resource scheduling data including real-time detection of resource conflicts; and conveying unobtrusively to a user an indication that a resource conflict exists, wherein conveying the indication of a resource conflict occurs concurrently with the resource scheduling process (column 18, lines 30-52).

As per claim 2, Donnelly teaches the method of claim 1, further comprising presenting to the user, upon selection, a description of the resource conflict (column 18, lines 30-52; column 21, line 53 – column 22, line 17).

Art Unit: 2113

As per claim 3, Donnelly teaches the method of claim 1, wherein presenting includes providing the user the choice to suppress the resource conflict (column 18, lines 39-46).

As per claim 4, Donnelly teaches the method of claim 1, wherein presenting includes providing the user a potential resolution of the resource conflict (column 18, lines 39-46).

As per claim 5, Donnelly teaches the method of claim 1, wherein the potential resolution further comprises a hyperlink to a relevant portion of the resource scheduling process allowing the resource conflict to be resolved (column 18, lines 39-46; figure 28) wherein, it is inherent that the override button pressed by the user client triggers a hyperlink to the server to remedy the conflict.

As per claim 6, Donnelly teaches the method of claim 1, wherein the indication includes a visual representation (column 21, line 53 – column 22, line 17).

As per claim 8, Donnelly teaches a system for providing real-time indication of resource scheduling conflicts in a resource scheduling process, the system comprising; a user interface receiving data from a user; a processor coupled to the user interface, wherein the processor is capable of executing instructions; a display device coupled to the processor; and a memory device coupled to the processor, the memory device storing the instructions comprising a resource scheduling process, wherein the resource scheduling process analyzes agent data, scheduling criteria, and detects resource conflicts, an error identification process, wherein error identification occurs concurrently with the resources scheduling process including presenting a description of the resource

Art Unit: 2113

conflict and a potential solution to resolve the resource conflict (column 18, lines 30-52; column 8, lines 3-46).

As per claim 9, Donnelly teaches the system of claim 8, wherein the potential solution further comprises a hyperlink to a relevant portion of the resource scheduling process allowing the resource conflict to be resolved (column 18, lines 39-46; figure 28) wherein, it is inherent that the override button pressed by the user client triggers a hyperlink to the server to remedy the conflict.

As per claim 10, Donnelly teaches the system of claim 8, wherein the indication includes a visual representation (column 21, lines 53 – column 22, line 17).

As per claim 12, Donnelly teaches computer-readable medium containing executable instructions which, when executed in a processing system (column 8, lines 4-36), causes the system to: analyze resource scheduling data via a resource scheduling process and detect a resource conflict, convey unobtrusively to a user an indication that the resource conflict exists concurrently with the resources scheduling process; and present to the user, upon selecting the indication, a description of the resource conflict and a potential solution to resolve the resource conflict (column 18, lines 30-52).

As per claim 13, Donnelly teaches the computer-readable medium of claim 12, wherein the executable instructions, when executed, further allow the user to suppress the resource conflict wherein suppressing comprises allowing the resource scheduling process to continue while the resource conflict persists (column 18, lines 30-52).

As per claim 14, Donnelly teaches the computer-readable medium of claim 12, wherein the executable instructions, when executed, present a hyperlink to a relevant portion of the resource scheduling process where the resource conflict is resolved

Art Unit: 2113

(column 18, lines 39-46; figure 28) wherein, it is inherent that the override button pressed by the user client triggers a hyperlink to the server to remedy the conflict.

As per claim 15, Donnelly teaches the computer-readable medium of claim 12, wherein the indication includes a visual representation (column 21, line 53 – column 22, line 17).

As per claim 17, Donnelly teaches a system for providing real-time identification of resource scheduling conflicts, the system comprising: at least one server comprising at least one storage device; at least one client processor coupled to the server through a network, wherein the processor is coupled to at least one storage device (column 8, lines 3-46), the storage device storing instructions that, when executed, causes at least one client processor to, analyze agent data and scheduling criteria to detect a resource conflict; concurrently convey an identification of the resource conflict; present, upon selection, a description of the resource conflict; and present a potential solution to resolve the resource conflict (column 18, lines 30-52).

As per claim 18, Donnelly teaches the system of claim 17, wherein the instructions include providing the user the choice to suppress the resource conflict (column 18, lines 39-46).

As per claim 19, Donnelly teaches the system of claim 17, wherein the potential solution further comprises a hyperlink to a relevant portion of the resource scheduling process allowing the resource conflict to be resolved (column 18, lines 39-46; figure 28) wherein, it is inherent that the override button pressed by the user client triggers a hyperlink to the server to remedy the conflict.

Art Unit: 2113

As per claim 20, Donnelly teaches the system of claim 17, wherein the indication includes a visual representation (column 21, line 53 – column 22, line 17).

As per claim 23, Donnelly teaches a method for providing real-time indications of resource scheduling conflicts comprising: analyzing resource scheduling data including real-time detection of resource conflicts; conveying unobtrusively to a user an indication that a resource conflict exists, wherein the conveying of the indication of the resource conflict occurs concurrently with the resource scheduling process and wherein the indication of a resource conflict includes identifying at least one resource associated with the resource conflict; and presenting to the user a description of the resource conflict and a potential resolution of the resource conflict (column 18, lines 30-52).

As per claim 24, Donnelly teaches the method of claim 23, wherein presenting includes providing the user a choice to suppress the resource conflict (column 18, lines 39-46).

As per claim 25, Donnelly teaches the method of claim 23, wherein presenting includes providing the user a choice of viewing the description of resource conflicts (column 18, lines 30-52).

As per claim 26, Donnelly teaches the method of claim 23, wherein the potential solution further comprises a hyperlink to a relevant portion of the resource scheduling process allowing the resource conflict to be resolved (column 18, lines 39-46; figure 28) wherein, it is inherent that the override button pressed by the user client triggers a hyperlink to the server to remedy the conflict.

As per claim 27, Donnelly teaches the method of claim 23, wherein conveying an indication includes a visual representation (column 21, line 53 – column 22, line 17).

Art Unit: 2113

As per claim 29, Donnelly teaches the method of claim 23, wherein the resource conflicts are of different types, and wherein identifying includes indicating a type of a resource conflict (column 18, lines 30-52; column 21, line 53 – column 22, line 17).

As per claim 30, Donnelly teaches the method of claim 29, wherein the various types include a rule-based conflict and a calendar based conflict (column 22, lines 3-7).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 7, 11, 16, 21, 22, 28, 31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Donnelly et al. U.S. Patent 6,049,776 in view of Rassman U.S. Patent 4,937,743.

As per claims 7, 11, 16, 21, and 28, Donnelly teaches the visual representation of a suppressed or unsuppressed resource conflict (column 21, line 53 – column 22, line 21). However, Donnelly does not explicitly teach wherein the using a first color [red] for an unsuppressed resource conflict and a second color [yellow] for a suppressed resource conflict. Rassman does teach using colors as an indication of a resource conflict (column 6, lines 9-19). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the "conflict indicia" of Rassman to the visual representation of Donnelly. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to

Art Unit: 2113

use the "conflict indicia" of Rassman to the visual representation of Donnelly because Rassman teaches the importance of visually indicating to the user of any incompatible scheduling of resources; a need explicitly desired by Donnelly (column 21, line 53 – column 22, line 21).

As per claim 22, Donnelly teaches a method for providing real-time identification of resource scheduling conflicts, in a resource scheduling process comprising: analyzing resource scheduling data via a resource scheduling process including real time detection of resource conflicts; conveying unobtrusively to a user a visual indication that the resource conflict exists, wherein conveying the indication occurs concurrently with the resource scheduling process; allowing the user to suppress the resource conflict, presenting to the user a description of the resource conflict and a potential solution to resolve the resource conflict, wherein the potential solution includes a hyperlink to a relevant portion of the resource scheduling process allowing the resource conflict to be resolved (column 18, lines 30-52; column 21, line 50 - column 22, line 17). Donnely does not explicitly teach wherein the visual indication of the resource conflict uses a first color for unsuppressed resource conflicts and a second color for suppressed conflicts. Rassman does teach using colors as an indication of a resource conflict (column 6, lines 9-19). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the "conflict indicia" of Rassman to the visual representation of Donnelly. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to use the "conflict indicia" of Rassman to the visual representation of Donnelly because Rassman teaches the importance of visually indicating to the user of any incompatible scheduling

Art Unit: 2113

of resources; a need explicitly desired by Donnelly (column 21, line 53 – column 22, line 21).

As per claim 31, Donnelly teaches the method of claim 30, wherein the various types of conflicts, rule-based and calendar-based, are visually represented (column 22, lines 1-10). Donnelly does not explicitly teach wherein the visual representation includes using a third color for a rule-based conflict and a fourth color for a calendar-based conflict. Rassman does teach using colors as an indication of types of resource conflicts (column 6, lines 9-19). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the "conflict indicia" of Rassman to the visual representation of Donnelly. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to use the "conflict indicia" of Rassman to the visual representation of Donnelly because Rassman teaches the importance of visually indicating to the user of any incompatible scheduling of resources; a need explicitly desired by Donnelly (column 21, line 53 – column 22, line 21).

Conclusion

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure: See attached PTO-892.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christopher S. McCarthy whose telephone number is (703)305-7599. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 8 - 4:30.

Art Unit: 2113

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert Beausoliel can be reached on (703)305-9713. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

csm

July 9, 2004

ROBERT BEAUSOLIEL

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100